

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,617	10/15/2001	Peter C. Ruenitz	235.0006 0102	6396
26813	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMINER	
MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.			MAIER, LEIGH C	
P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,210, 1111. 00 150		1623	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/981,617	RUENITZ, PETER C.				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Leigh C. Maier	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	·	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached page.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>27-35 and 38</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 36,37 and 39.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
0.⊠ Other: <i>PTO-892</i>	PRESIDENCE					
Sitt (a)	Lew S Will	Leigh C. Maier Examiner -Art Unit: 1623				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

ADVISORY ACTION

Applicant's submission has been considered but is not found persuasive. A cursory search finds that at the time the invention was made, DMSO was considered a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. See the following:

- De la Torre (US 5,516,526), abstract.
- Spreafico et al (US 5,674,490), paragraph bridging col 14-15.
- Lopes (US 5,989,558), col 4, lines 36-40.

Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (703) 308-4525. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday, or Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson (703) 308-4624, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more.

Leigh C. Maier Patent Examiner November 14, 2003